

1 Mark Lindquist (WA #25076)  
2 [mark@hlq.lawyer](mailto:mark@hlq.lawyer)  
3 Herrmann Law Group  
4 505 Fifth Ave S, Ste. 330  
5 Seattle, WA 98104  
6 T: 206-625-9104  
7 F: 206-682-6710  
8 Attorney for Plaintiff

9  
10 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR**  
11 **THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON IN SEATTLE**

12 DONNITTA SINCLAIR, mother of  
13 deceased HORACE LORENZO  
14 ANDERSON, JR., individually,

15 Plaintiff,

16 Vs.

17 CITY OF SEATTLE, a municipality,  
18 Defendant.

No. 2:21-cv-00571

COMPLAINT

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

19 Plaintiff, through her attorneys at Herrmann Law Group, hereby alleges as  
20 follows:

21 **I. SYNOPSIS**

22 1. Plaintiff Donnitta Sinclair seeks damages arising from the intentional and  
23 negligent conduct of the City of Seattle (“the City”), which resulted in the death of her 19-  
24 year-old son, Horace Lorenzo Anderson, Jr.

25 2. On or about June 8, 2020, the City abruptly abandoned the East Precinct  
26 of the Seattle Police Department (“SPD”) in Capitol Hill.

27 3. Protestors used barriers left behind by police to create a “no-cop” zone in  
28 an area known as the Capitol Hill Organized Protest or “CHOP.”

4. This decision by the City was well publicized and invited lawlessness and  
created a foreseeable danger.

1 5. Mayor Jenny Durkan (“the Mayor”) spun CHOP as a “block party” and  
2 “summer of love,” suggesting a visit would be fun and safe.

3 6. Lorenzo Anderson, the 19-year-old special needs son of the Plaintiff,  
4 visited CHOP on or about June 20, 2020. He was shot in CHOP just outside Cal  
5 Anderson Park.

6 7. Seattle Police Department (“SPD”) and Seattle Fire Department (“SFD”)  
7 units were standing by about a block and a half away from where Anderson lay bleeding,  
8 but failed to assist because of botched communication between the two City agencies  
9 and lack of sufficient planning and preparation.

10 8. After SPD and SFD failed to assist, CHOP volunteers transported  
11 Anderson from CHOP to Harborview Medical Center in a pick-up truck.

12 9. He died of his wounds at the hospital.

## 13 II. PARTIES

14 10. Plaintiff Donnitta Sinclair is the biological mother of Horace Lorenzo  
15 Anderson, Jr., deceased.

16 11. Defendant City of Seattle is a municipality incorporated in the State of  
17 Washington. The Seattle Police Department is a division of the City.

## 18 III. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

19 12. This court has subject matter jurisdiction over this case because this action  
20 presents federal questions and seeks to redress deprivation of rights under the United  
21 States Constitution pursuant to U.S.C. Section 1983.

22 13. Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. Section 1391 because the  
23 events giving rise to these claims arose in the Western District of Washington,  
24 specifically in the city of Seattle.

25 14. To the extent these causes of action are also brought pursuant to  
26 Washington State statutes, they are so related to the federal claims they form part of the  
27 same controversy or case.

28 15. A tort claim was filed with the City more than 60 days ago.

1 **IV. FACTS ALLEGED**

2 16. After the City abandoned SPD’s East Precinct on or about June 8, 2020,  
3 CHOP participants essentially seized a roughly sixteen-block area of Capitol Hill,  
4 including Cal Anderson Park.

5 17. SPD left behind barricades when they surrendered the area. CHOP  
6 participants used these barriers to block off streets from general traffic.

7 18. Local business owners and others observed CHOP participants carrying  
8 guns on public streets and in Cal Anderson Park at all hours.

9 19. Cal Anderson Park was turned into a massive tent city for CHOP  
10 participants and the general public was not allowed to use the park.

11 20. The City enabled CHOP by providing portable toilets, lighting, and other  
12 support, including modifying protocols of SPD and SFD.

13 21. The City had no effective plan for providing police protection, fire  
14 protection, or other emergency services into the surrendered area.

15 22. Violence, vandalism, open drug use, and a collection of other crimes  
16 predictably proliferated in CHOP.

17 23. Local business owners were threatened with retaliation if they attempted  
18 to paint over ubiquitous graffiti.

19 24. SPD adopted a policy and practice of not entering the area except in the  
20 case of life-threatening crimes, and sometimes not even then. CHOP became known as  
21 a “no-cop” zone.

22 25. After SPD and the City deserted the area, CHOP participants created a  
23 “medical tent” in an outdoor area of the Rancho Bravo restaurant on Pine Street just  
24 outside Cal Anderson Park.

25 26. On June 11, SPD Chief Carmen Best (“Police Chief”) publicly admitted,  
26 while standing beside the Mayor, “In the first day of SPD not having access to the  
27 precinct, response times for crimes in progress were over 15 minutes, about three times  
28 as long as the average ...”

1 27. The same day, the Mayor spun CHOP as “a summer of love” and “block  
2 party” in an interview with CNN, implying it was fun and safe.

3 28. City Council Member Kshama Sawant continually framed CHOP as a  
4 “peaceful occupation” even after known violence.

5 29. On or about June 20, Lorenzo Anderson visited CHOP. That same night,  
6 Marcel Long visited CHOP. Anderson and Long apparently had a history of antagonism  
7 for about a year.

8 30. Long and others he was with correctly believed CHOP to be a “no-cop”  
9 zone. Long was armed with a handgun.

10 31. Video from a local business shows Long talking to Anderson. When Long  
11 pulls a gun, Anderson turns and walks quickly away. Long is momentarily held back by  
12 others, but breaks away to run after Anderson. Long catches up to Anderson and shoots  
13 him at least four times at approximately 2:19 am.

14 32. With no assistance in sight from SPD or SFD, CHOP participants carried  
15 Anderson to the nearby Rancho Bravo “medical tent” on East Pine Street. He had a pulse  
16 when they laid him down on a table.

17 33. An SFD Medic One ambulance was standing by about a block and a half  
18 away from where Anderson lay bleeding.

19 34. Video circulating on social media shows a man imploring the medics to  
20 help Anderson. “You could be saving his life. You could be saving his life right now. Sir,  
21 please, explain to me what’s going on. He’s dying. He needs your help....”

22 35. One of the medical responders says into his radio, “We have a number of  
23 citizens who want us into the location. I just want to make sure we’re not cleared to move  
24 into the location.”

25 36. Medic One was apparently waiting for a green light from SPD, but SPD  
26 was confused about the location of SFD and medics. Miscommunication between the  
27 two agencies caused a delay of approximately 20 minutes.

28

1 37. At about 2:35 am, with still no assistance in sight, Anderson was loaded  
2 into a civilian pick-up truck by CHOP volunteers. “We saw red lights from the fire  
3 department up on Broadway and then, after some time, it became pretty clear the medics  
4 weren’t coming in,” said a CHOP volunteer.

5 38. At about 2:45 am, Anderson arrived at Harborview. He was pronounced  
6 dead at 2:53 am.

7 39. Anderson is survived by his mother, the Plaintiff, and his father.

8 40. The Police Chief and other city agents made public statements claiming  
9 CHOP participants prevented fire and police from rescuing Anderson. Evidence shows  
10 otherwise. In fact, CHOP participants were begging City personnel to enter and help  
11 Anderson.

12 41. When police finally entered CHOP, approximately 20 minutes after the  
13 shooting, videos show they were met with cries of “the victim is gone” and “they took him  
14 to the hospital.” SPD’s delayed response appears to be the primary source of the crowd’s  
15 hostility.

16 42. Long was charged with Murder in the First Degree by the King County  
17 Prosecutor’s Office. He is still at large.

18 43. On or about June 29, there was another shooting in CHOP. A 16-year-old  
19 boy was killed, and a 14-year-old was seriously wounded.

20 44. In the course of nine days in CHOP, there were two homicides and several  
21 shootings, as well as other crimes such as robbery and sexual assault. In the six months  
22 before CHOP, there were no homicides in the area. In 2019, there were three homicides  
23 in the entire Capitol Hill neighborhood.

24 45. After the second CHOP homicide, the Police Chief said, “...unfortunate that  
25 we have yet another murder in this area identified as CHOP.... And we’ve had multiple  
26 other incidents – assaults, rape, robbery, and shootings.... So this is a real problem. And  
27 I would question why we could continue to allow this to happen.”

28

1 46. The Police Chief denied giving the order to desert SPD's East Precinct.  
2 The Mayor also appeared to deny giving the order. Someone in City leadership gave the  
3 order and numerous City officials allowed, enabled, and even encouraged CHOP to  
4 continue despite the foreseeable danger and resulting violence.

5 47. On June 24, business owners in the CHOP filed a lawsuit against the City  
6 for this "unprecedented decision to abandon and close off an entire city neighborhood,  
7 leaving it unchecked by the police, unserved by fire and emergency health services ..."  
8 *Hunters Cap. LLC v. City of Seattle*, No. C20-983 TSZ, 2020 WL 6120008 (W.D. Wash.  
9 Oct. 16, 2020)

10 48. On July 1, the Mayor issued an executive order to retake the SPD precinct  
11 and CHOP. In the process, there was no significant violence or serious resistance. This  
12 confirms what common sense suggests: the City could have and should have retaken  
13 the area and restored public safety before the murder of Anderson.

#### 14 **V. RESERVATION**

15 49. The investigation remains ongoing. Discovery may reveal additional  
16 causes of action against or establish that other, so far unnamed, persons or entities  
17 may also have been at fault.

18 50. Further, the exact nature and full extent of injuries and damages are  
19 unknown and there may be additional claims and/or causes of action.

20 51. To the extent the Court will allow, Plaintiffs reserve the right to  
21 subsequently amend this complaint accordingly.

#### 22 **VI. ALL CAUSES OF ACTION**

23 52. All facts alleged in every paragraph above are incorporated into every cause  
24 of action alleged hereinafter as though they were fully set forth.

25 53. The City is responsible for its own policy or customs, whether made by its  
26 lawmakers or by those whose edicts or acts may fairly be said to represent official policy,  
27 which caused the injuries described herein.

28 54. Actions of City employees were ratified by City officers, including the Police

1 Chief and the Mayor.

2 55. Actions of the defendant constituting every cause of action below proximately  
3 caused damages suffered by this plaintiff as described below.

4 56. The defendant's actions and failures amounted to deliberate indifference to  
5 federally protected rights. Therefore, the plaintiff is entitled to punitive damages.

6 57. Under 42 USC §1988, Plaintiff is also entitled to attorney fees.

7 **VII. FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

8 **14th Amendment Due Process and Parental Rights Violated**

9 58. This action is brought by Donnitta Sinclair in her individual capacity as  
10 mother of the decedent.

11 59. The City's affirmative acts and failures to act, including abandoning the  
12 SPD East Precinct and CHOP, created a danger.

13 60. The City's acts and failures to act, including a policy where police only  
14 responded to "life-threatening" crimes in CHOP, and sometimes not even then,  
15 created a lawless "no-cop" zone.

16 61. Violence was foreseeable when the City abandoned police, fire, and  
17 other essential services in CHOP.

18 62. This City-created danger was known to city officials, including the  
19 Mayor and Police Chief. City officials demonstrated deliberate indifference to this  
20 danger.

21 63. Knowing this danger, the Mayor and other city officials encouraged  
22 Anderson and others into the area by referring to it as a "summer of love" and a  
23 "block party" and similar spin.

24 64. Long and others brought firearms into CHOP as they correctly believed  
25 the City had created a "no-cop" zone.

26 65. The City's acts, and failures to act, demonstrated deliberate  
27 indifference to the constitutional rights a parent has in the companionship of their  
28 children.

1 66. The City's actions and failures were the proximate cause of the death  
2 of Lorenzo Anderson.

3 **VIII. SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

4 **Negligence**

5 67. The City had a duty to act reasonably and not create a danger. Further,  
6 the City had a duty to act reasonably and prepare for predictable dangers.

7 68. Through respondeat superior, the City is responsible for the actions of  
8 officers and employees performed in the scope of their employment.

9 69. By abandoning CHOP and approving a "no-cop" zone where police only  
10 entered CHOP in the event of "life-threatening" crimes, and sometimes not even  
11 then, the City created a danger. Violence was foreseeable, but no working response  
12 was prepared.

13 70. When Anderson was shot, a Medic One unit was standing by about a  
14 block and a half away. A CHOP participant is on video begging the medics to assist.  
15 "You could be saving his life right now. Sir, please, explain to me what's going on. He's  
16 dying. He needs your help...."

17 71. Because of negligent miscommunication between ill-prepared City  
18 agencies, medics failed to get approval to enter CHOP to assist Anderson in a timely  
19 manner.

20 72. Approximately 26 minutes after he was shot, Anderson arrived at the  
21 hospital in a civilian pick-up truck. Harbor Medical Center is only one mile away from  
22 where Anderson was shot.

23 73. Anderson should have been in the hands of professional medics almost  
24 immediately and transported to the hospital in a matter of a few minutes. Every  
25 minute matters in treating gunshot wounds.

26 74. The City's negligence proximately caused Anderson's death.

27 **IX. DAMAGES**

28 75. As a result of these causes of action, Plaintiff lost the love, care,



1 companionship, and familial relationship between mother and son.

2 76. Plaintiff suffered and continues to suffer severe grief, emotional  
3 distress, and mental anguish.

4 **X. PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

5 77. WHEREFORE, plaintiffs pray for judgment against defendant awarding  
6 plaintiffs the following, the exact nature and full extent of which to be proven at trial:

- 7 • Compensatory damages;  
8 • Pursuant to 42 USC §1983, punitive damages;  
9 • Pre-judgment and post-judgment interest;  
10 • Pursuant to 42 USC §1988, attorneys' fees and costs; and,  
11 • Such other relief as the Court deems just and equitable.

12 **XI. DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

13 78. Plaintiffs demand trial by jury on all issues.

14 Dated this 29th day of April, 2021.

15 **HERRMANN LAW GROUP**

16 /s/ Mark Lindquist

17 \_\_\_\_\_  
18 Mark Lindquist (WA #25076)  
19 Lara Herrmann (WA #30564)  
20 Crystal R. Lloyd (WA #46072)  
21 Attorneys for Plaintiff